

State Contribution Strategies: With or Without State PACs

Public Affairs Council

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
and Affiliates

Melissa Miles

202-371-7836; mmiles@skadden.com

The Americas

Boston
Chicago
Houston
Los Angeles
New York
Palo Alto
São Paulo
Toronto
Washington, D.C.
Wilmington

Europe

Brussels
Frankfurt
London
Moscow
Munich
Paris

Asia Pacific

Beijing
Hong Kong
Seoul
Shanghai
Singapore
Tokyo



- When giving to state or local candidates, party committees or PACs, applicable federal, state, and/or local laws may come into play
 - Consider both campaign finance laws and pay-to-play laws
- These laws may prohibit or limit contributions or impose reporting requirements



- Corporate vs PAC
 - Corporate Contributions: Make corporate contributions where permissible
 - » Consider corporate budgetary concerns
 - » Administrative burden of processing corporate check vs PAC registration/reporting
 - » Possible disclosure of corporate contributions on company website; corporate reporting requirements
 - » Pay-to-play law implications
 - PAC contributions: Make PAC contributions where corporate contributions are prohibited or otherwise impractical
 - » May also choose to give both corporate & PAC in states where there is no aggregation
 - » Pay-to-play laws may apply to PACs



- Federal PAC: Use federal PAC to give in states where permitted and no burdensome requirements
- Form State PAC(s):
 - Where necessary because of burdensome state requirements
 - To take advantage of state's higher or no individual limits to PAC – “high cap PAC” (e.g., Florida, Georgia, Indiana)
- Benefit: Regional buy-in for using Federal or State PAC in a state
- Aggregation
 - In states where there is no aggregation, can maximize contributions by giving both corporate & PAC
 - In states that do aggregate, no benefit to do both since corporate & PAC share the same limits



- Governance for federal/state or state PAC:
 - Centralized governance (cohesive strategy) vs local representation (more buy-in from region)
 - Budgeting/fundraising decisions
 - » Providing Board or Contribution Advisory Committee positions to execs in diverse geographical areas helps with “local” fundraising
 - » Can apply “eat what you kill” philosophy for regional areas- amount raised for PAC in that area can be contributed in that area
 - Separate state PACs for more regional control over PAC



- 28 states plus D.C. permit corporate contributions:

Alabama

California

Delaware

Florida

Georgia

Hawaii

Idaho

Illinois

Indiana

Kansas

Louisiana

Maine

Maryland

Mississippi

Nebraska

Nevada

New Hampshire

New Jersey

New Mexico

New York

Oregon

South Carolina

South Dakota

Tennessee

Utah

Vermont

Virginia

Washington



- Five states currently permit unlimited corporate contributions (*i.e.*, Alabama, Nebraska, Oregon, Utah and Virginia).
 - Illinois limits for a particular elected office are lifted if IE or self-funding threshold is reached for that particular office.
- The remaining 23 states plus D.C. allow corporate contributions but impose limits.
- Partnerships: each state has different rules on pass-through



- Reporting Requirements

- 17 states require corporations to file reports if they make contributions.

Alaska

Maryland

Ohio

California

Massachusetts

Pennsylvania

Georgia

Minnesota

Rhode Island

Hawaii

Montana

Tennessee

Iowa

Nebraska

Utah

New Hampshire

Washington

- Some of these states are corporate ban states, where the reporting requirement is triggered by ballot measure contributions (e.g., Ohio and Massachusetts).
- Emerging issue: contributions to non-profits, mostly 501(c)(4) organizations, engaging in political spending. This is sometimes referred to as "dark money" because (c)(4)s generally do not disclose political activity. CA passed legislation requiring certain non-profits to disclose activity. In addition, NY, CT, WV, NM, MD have attempted to require 501(c)(4) donor disclosure in certain instances.



- Aggregation among affiliate companies
 - Most states aggregate among affiliates, e.g., Georgia and Maryland.
 - New York does not aggregate among corporate affiliates for purposes of the \$5,000 per corporation limit.
 - » Starting 1/31/19, LLC contributions are also subject to the \$5,000 per entity limit, and LLC contributions are attributed to its corporate members.
 - California and New Jersey aggregate only if companies do not act independently.



- Aggregation among affiliated PACs
 - Most states aggregate affiliated PACs, *e.g.*, Kentucky and Maine.
- Aggregation among company and its PACs
 - Washington state and D.C. aggregate between company and its PAC.
 - California aggregates if decisions regarding company and PAC contributions are made by the same people.
 - Kansas is an example of a state that does not aggregate between a PAC and a corporation donation made by a connected corporation to the same candidate.



- 22 states generally prohibit corporate contributions:

Alaska

Michigan

Oklahoma

Arizona*

Minnesota

Pennsylvania

Arkansas*

Missouri*

Rhode Island

Colorado*

Montana*

Texas

Connecticut

North Carolina

West Virginia

Iowa

North Dakota

Wisconsin

Kentucky

Ohio

Wyoming

Massachusetts

- *Some of the above states permit corporate contributions to PACs and/or party committees.
- Some states that prohibit corporate contributions to political committees permit contributions to administrative accounts of political party committees (e.g., Texas, Ohio).
- Ballot measure contributions are permitted, even if corporate contributions are prohibited in that state.



- If a PAC (including a federal PAC) contributes at the state or local level, it must comply with that state's or locality's law.
- Some states make it easy for federal PACs to give (Ohio and Texas).
- Some states make it difficult or illegal to use a federal PAC (Alaska, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New York, and Rhode Island).
- New York Department of Labor payroll deduction statement and regulation.
- New Jersey payroll deduction restriction.
- Many states do not exempt administrative expenses or certain solicitation expenses.
 - For example, Texas imposes restrictions on PAC match and New Hampshire treats administrative expenses as contributions subject to the \$5,000 per election corporate limit.



- Some states impose burdensome restrictions on PACs.
 - Michigan used to require annual authorization on payroll deductions – repealed January 2016
 - New York treats administrative expenses as contributions that count against the contribution limit, and requires in-state bank account. Transfers exceeding \$1,000 from out-of-state accounts are not permitted.
 - North Carolina requires in-state assistant treasurer.
 - Vermont requires compliance with state limit on what a PAC can receive to \$4,080 per two-year cycle.
- Most states require registration and reporting by the PAC.
 - Some require greater itemization in reports than required under federal law.
- Some PAC aggregate limits struck down in wake of *McCutcheon*



- For government contractors, beware of state and local pay-to-play laws, which may apply to PAC donations
- These laws can prohibit a company from doing business or entering into a contract with a governmental entity if a covered donor makes or solicits political contributions in that jurisdiction to officeholders, candidates, political parties, or other political committees (e.g., PACs)
 - Some states, like New Jersey and Illinois, and localities, like Philadelphia, expressly cover contributions from a PAC of a covered contractor.
 - Even if PAC is not expressly covered, generally treat contractor's PAC as covered unless specific authority otherwise
 - These laws may apply even to a federal PAC giving in a state where it is not required to register or report (e.g., NJ)
- Importance of pre-clearance system for PAC contributions



- New Jersey – Prohibits insurance companies, banks, utilities and their affiliates, doing business in the state from making contributions "for any political purpose whatsoever."
 - PAC of regulated entity can give as long as administrative expenses reimbursed
- Delaware has a ban on insurers, or banks acting as an insurer, contributing to an Insurance Commissioner candidate.
 - PAC of insurer should be treated as covered
- Several states impose restrictions on lottery or gaming contractors.

This information is provided by Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP and its affiliates for educational and informational purposes only and is not intended and should not be construed as legal advice.